

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/658,490 | 09/08/2003 | E. Scott Hagermoser | 59004US002 | 2018 | |
| 32692 3M INNOVA | 7590 06/24/200 FIVE PROPERTIES CO | | EXAM | IINER | |
| PO BOX 33427 | | | MOON, SEOKYUN | | |
| ST. PAUL, M | N 55133-3427 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2629 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/24/2008 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/658,490
 HAGERMOSER ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 SEOKYUN MOON
 2629

| | SEOKYUN MOON | 2629 | | | | | |
|--|---|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Seokyun Moon</u> . | (3)Nick Baumann. | | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Sumati Lefkowitz</u> . | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>11 June 2008</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | ²)∏ applicant's representative | e] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Gillespie (US 7,109,978), Pryor (US 7,084,859), and Neuman (US 5,942,815), | | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g |) was not reached. h) ⊠ N | I/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative pointed out the difference between the prior arts of record and the instant invention. Examiner explained how the combination of the prior arts of record would still teach the newly presented claim limitation. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | /Seokyun Moon/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | Examiner's signature if requi | red | | | | | |

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Us. Peart and Tademan Office.

Us. Peart and Tademan Office.

Total 13 (Rev. 0-043)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20080617